INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE LAW OF THE SEA TRIBUNAL INTERNATIONAL DU DROIT DE LA MER



1999

Public hearing

held on Wednesday, 10 March 1999, at 2.00 p.m., at the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, Hamburg,

President Thomas A. Mensah presiding

in the M/V "SAIGA" (No.2)

(Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea)

Verbatim Record

Uncorrected Non-corrigé present: President Thomas A. Mensah

Vice-President Rüdiger Wolfrum

Judges Lihai Zhao

Hugo Caminos

Vicente Marotta Rangel

Alexander Yankov

Soji Yamamoto

Choon-Ho Park

Paul Bamela Engo

L. Dolliver M. Nelson

P. Chandrasekhara Rao

Joseph Akl

David Anderson

Budislav Vukas

Joseph Sinde Warioba

Edward Arthur Laing

Tullio Treves

Mohamed Mouldi Marsit

Gudmundur Eiriksson

Tafsir Malick Ndiaye

Registrar Gritakumar E. Chitty

Saint Vincent and the Grenadines is represented by:

Mr. Carlyle D. Dougan Q.C., High Commissioner to London for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines,

as Agent;

Mr. Carl Joseph, Attorney General and Minister of Justice of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines.

as Counsel:

Mr. Richard Plender Q.C., Barrister, London, United Kingdom,

Mr. Yérim Thiam, Barrister, President of the Senegalese Bar, Dakar, Senegal,

Mr. Nicholas Howe, Solicitor, Howe & Co., London, United Kingdom,

as Advocates.

Guinea is represented by:

Mr. Hartmut von Brevern, Barrister, Röhreke, Boye, Remé & von Werder, Hamburg, Germany,

as Agent;

Mr. Maurice Zogbélémou Togba, Minister of Justice, of Guinea,

Mr. Rainer Lagoni, Professor at the University of Hamburg and Director of the Institute for Maritime Law and Law of the Sea, Hamburg, Germany,

Mr. Nemankoumba Kouyate, Chargé d'Affaires, Embassy of Guinea, Bonn, Germany,

Mr. Mamadou Saliou Diallo, Naval Staff Officer, Conakry, Guinea,

Mr. Mamadi Askia Camara, Director of the Division of Customs Legislation and Regulation, Conakry, Guinea,

as Counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: Could the witness Mr Niasse be called to the witness stand. Mr Niasse, you are still covered by the declaration you made.

3

MR VON BREVERN: Mr President, my colleague Mr Lagoni wishes to continue with the cross-examination, if you agree.

5 6 7

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, Mr Lagoni.

8

MR DJIBRIL NIASSE

Cross-examined by MR LAGONI, continued

11

10

- Mr President, Members of the Tribunal. Mr Niasse, to continue with very few questions asked to you this morning by Mr Von Brevern. You mentioned in your statement that you heard many bullets. Could you give us briefly any idea how many you heard just as a rough estimation; was it five, or for example twenty, a hundred, a thousand? What does "many" mean?
- I must tell the truth, I cannot say how many, because I was not outside. I only heard the impact. I could not say whether it was one or two or more. I am unable to do this.
 One thing is certain, and that is that I heard many impacts.

20

- Thank you very much Mr Niasse. To come back to your cabin, there was first of all a slight problem of translation. When there was a reference to a "bull-eye" this was the expression of the German Navy for portholes. So the reference was made to portholes. You said that you looked out of your porthole, your window. Were you seeing the sea, or were you seeing the aisle to the galley, when you looked out?
- 26 A When I looked out I was unable to look out because as soon as I raised my head to look out, the bullet hit me.

28

- Mr Niasse, when you at any other time looked out of your porthole, were you looking on the sea, or were you looking practically inside the ship, on the aisle of the ship?
- I did not look for a long time. I raised my head to look through the porthole, and then the bullet was there.

33 34

THE PRESIDENT: If I may just interrupt, I think this morning the question was put to him, and he said when he looked out he saw the sea. Maybe you could confirm that, because he is still thinking about the time of the attack, not the time before.

363738

35

MR LAGONI: Thank you Mr President.

39 40

41

42

A The question was put, if I look through my porthole what do I see. Usually when I look through the porthole I see the sea, but that day when I raised my head and I wanted to look out I was not able to see anything because as soon as I raised my head the bullet was there, under the circumstances that I have already described.

43 44

- Thank you for that. Mr Niasse, how much did you earn per day, can you remember that?
- 47 A I was paid where? When I was working on board? The salary I was paid where?

48

EO310pm 4 11/10/06

	X X 71		1 .	1 10
1 ()	When	vou were	working	on board?

I was paid by the day 100,000 CFA francs. The trip was for 15 days, so that is about US\$150 or the equivalent of that. A little less than US\$150.

4

- 5 Q For the whole trip?
- 6 A Yes. The trip took 15 days. 15 times the amount leads us to this amount in dollars.

7

- 8 Q Thank you. Who paid for the medical treatment, did you pay for it?
- 9 A When I was in Dakar? Where?

10

- 11 Q In Dakar.
- 12 A In Conakry I do not know, but in Dakar it is a risk, and therefore it is paid.

13

- Who paid, did you pay for the medical treatment in Dakar, for your medical treatment?
- 16 A No, it was not me who paid, it is Oryx who paid. Oryx paid the bill.

17

- Did you receive any money as compensation for your injuries, and if yes, from whom did you get any money for compensation?
- When I was in hospital there were no problems at all, because at the end of each month I was given money. Oryx gave me money in order to meet the needs of my family and also in order to meet my own needs.

23

- 24 Q After you left the hospital, have you received money from Oryx, or from anybody else?
- When I was in hospital, while I was in hospital, and even when I left hospital and went home I was given money, because I was in convalescence for a long time without working, and Oryx paid me.

29

Thank you very much Mr Niasse. Mr President, that ends my questions.

31

32 **THE PRESIDENT:** Thank you very much. Maître Thiam, do you want to re-examine

33 34

MAITRE THIAM: Thank you Mr President.

35 36

Re-examined by MAITRE THIAM

3738

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- Mr Niasse spoke this morning about M. Sylla and I thought maybe there were some errors, and this is why I would like to put to Mr Niasse the following question. Was M. Sylla on board *The Saiga* with him? (No English translation of answer) The Tribunal this morning saw that photograph no. 1 was projected and shown to the witness, and I would like it to be projected again please. The Tribunal will remember that when this photograph was presented to him Mr Niasse asked for it to be turned round. He did not know that a photograph of this type of course cannot be turned round but what he wanted to do was to see the other side of the vessel. I would like to ask him whether from this position at the back he can describe where he would have to go in order to gain access to his cabin.
- A I am at the rear on deck here?

1	Q	Yes, that is right, to go to your cabin.
2 3	(The v	vitness indicated on the screen)
4	(THC V	vidicss indicated on the serecity
5	A	I can go in this way, I can go via the galley, and then go to this side in order to get to
6		my cabin.
7		
8	Q	Mr Niasse, when the vessel came under attack, was it moving?
9	A	No, the vessel was moving very slowly, it was probably drifting. The vessel was
10		moving at a very slow speed, it was not moving at great speed. The vessel stopped at
11		about 8 in the morning, when we got up it was moving slowly. Yes, it was moving
12		slowly.
13	Q	Could you tell the Tribunal whether before the attack you heard a siren, a bell, or
14	~	some other signal coming from the Guinean patrol boats?
15	A	I did not hear anything. If I had heard a siren I would have thought I was in harbour.
16		If you hear a siren or a bell in port I know that I must flee, because there is a danger.
17		Above all, if there is a siren which starts to sound there is a danger, you must not stay
18		where you are, you must flee, but we did not hear anything.
19		
20	Q	Mr Niasse, can you tell the Tribunal whether to your knowledge the Captain of your
21		ship tried to make any movement or manoeuvre to attack the Guinean patrol boats?
22	A	To attack the Guinean patrol boats? No, nothing like that, nothing like that.
23	\circ	I would like to put a last question. At which point in time did he know that the
24 25	Q	attackers were Guinean?
26	A	It is when we arrived at the port of Conakry that I knew that the attackers were
27	2.1	Guinean.
28		
29	THE	PRESIDENT: Perhaps I may ask a question. When Maître Thiam asked you whether
30	to you	r knowledge any attempt was made by the Captain to attack the Guinean patrol boats,
31	you sa	aid "No", but you had previously said that you were, all the time, in your cabin. Would
32	-	ave known if something had happened while you were in your cabin?
33	A	(No English interpretation)
34		
35		PRESIDENT: We are not getting an interpretation.
36	A	How could you flee? Nobody was on deck. How could you flee and at the same time
37		think of attacking because everyone fled; there was no one on deck.
38	0	So you could not tall what the Contain was doing?
39 40	Q A	So you could not tell what the Captain was doing? I cannot exactly say what the Captain was doing; I do not know. But I have a friend
41	Λ	who, according to what he told me – the Captain too was below deck. This is what
42		this friend told me.
43		mio miono volo mo.
44	THE	PRESIDENT: I wanted to ask the question before you left that. Maybe you would

EO310pm 6 11/10/06

like to ask another question?

MAITRE THIAM: Maybe to ask the witness whether the vessel undertook any brusque or 1 sudden movement; whether it hit something, he would have been able to notice this from his 2 3 cabin – whether he would have been able to feel this. In English, whether he hit something. 4 Yes. Probably if the vessel had have done - made a sudden movement - I would not 5 have felt it. The situation was an extremely difficult one, and in the state I was in, would I have been able to feel it? I was in my cupboard. 6 7 **MAITRE THIAM:** Thank you very much. 8 9 **THE PRESIDENT:** Dr Plender? 10 11 **DR PLENDER:** Before the witness leaves, we received no English translation of the very 12 first question. Nothing turns upon it but accuracy. It may, however, be helpful to have the 13 14 question put. The question was whether M. Sylla was on board. We appear to have received no translation of the answer. 15 16 **THE PRESIDENT:** Could you kindly answer the question, Mr Niasse? You heard the 17 question. Could you please give us your answer? 18 19 Α M. Sylla was not on board the vessel. He is the head of the depot and he was at the depot. The vessel had someone who was in charge of the crew on board, but M. Sylla 20 himself was not on board. He stays at the depot. 21 2.2. **MAITRE THIAM:** The depot that you are referring to, is this on land? 23 24 The depot is within the port area, on the docks. 2.5 26 Q On the orders of the Captain or on the orders of someone else? Not an order on board. The orders given by M. Sylla are ones on land. On board the 2.7 Α vessel it is the Captain, the Master, and the bosun who divides up the work, because 28 the instructions were given by the Master to him and he transmits them to the 29 members of the crew. 30 31 32 **THE PRESIDENT:** Thank you, Mr Niasse, for helping us. I know that you have gone through a very difficult experience. I am very pleased to know that you have been able to 33 34 deal with all the questions very competently. We are very grateful to you. Unless any counsel wishes to put any further questions from your side, you are excused. As I have said 35 before, you may stay here if you wish, but if you want to leave, you are at liberty to do so. 36 37 (The witness withdrew) 38 39 **THE PRESIDENT:** Dr Plender, I think that you are going to call your next witness? 40 41 **DR PLENDER:** With your permission, Mr President. 42 43 44 **THE PRESIDENT:** Yes, you may do so.

THE PRESIDENT: May Mr Stewart please be called to the witness stand.

DR PLENDER: The final witness for the applicant state is Allan Stewart.

45

46 47

48 49

EO310pm 7 11/10/06

1 2		LAN STEWART, affirmed mined by DR PLENDER
3		
4 5	Q	Is your name Allan Stewart and are you managing director of Seascot Management Limited?
6 7	A	I am.
8	Q	Do Seascot have any functions in relation to the vessel, <i>The Saiga</i> ?
9 10	A	Yes, our function is to act as technical and commercial managers of the ship on behalf of Tabona Shipping, the owners.
11 12	Q	Did Seascot occupy those functions on 27 and 28 October 1997?
13	A	We did, yes.
14	7.1	We did, yes.
15	Q	Do you continue to exercise those functions?
16	À	We do.
17		
18	Q	In your capacity as manager, would you be aware of those working aboard the vessel?
19	A	Yes, we have direct contact with the Captain on a more or less daily basis on what is
20		the position of the vessel. And, of course, we are in contact with the Chief Engineer
21		on technical supplies and operations of the ship. So yes, we are well aware of the
22		people on board the vessel.
23	0	Were very every an 26 and 27 October of the engagement on board the vessel of
24	Q	Were you aware, on 26 and 27 October, of the engagement on board the vessel of Mr Niasse?
2526	A	Yes, we were.
27	11	1 cs, we were.
28	Q	Did Mr Niasse have a written contact?
29	À	No, he did not have a written contract but he was given an undertaking that we would
30		pay him a certain figure per day. This was explained to him and the Captain gave him
31		that reassurance. What happens is that at the end of every month, or end of a voyage,
32		he has a list made out of the days he has been working and he is given the total
33		amount and he signs a piece of paper saying that he agrees with the Captain that that
34		is the amount of wages due.
35		
36	Q	What salary was he paid?
37	A	My recollection is that he was paid approximately \$300 per month.
38	0	How did that compare with the colory of a qualified company
39 40	Q A	How did that compare with the salary of a qualified seaman? A qualified seaman, able seaman – his salary is approximately \$600 per month.
41	А	A qualified scamali, able scamali – his salary is approximately \$000 per month.
42	Q	Mr Stewart, evidence has been given of damage done to the vessel on 27 and
43	~	28 October.
44	A	Yes, that is correct.
45		
46	Q	Are you aware of any temporary repairs made to the vessel?
47	A	When the vessel arrived at Conakry the engineers on board had to make some
48		temporary repairs - because of the damage caused by gunfire in the engine room - to
49		enable the engine to operate.

EO310pm 8 11/10/06

1		
2	Q	Was the vessel fully repaired in Conakry?
3	A	No, it was not possible to do that.
4		
5	Q	Where was it taken for repair?
6	Α	In due course it was taken to Dakar, which is the nearest port with reasonable repair
7		facilities in that area.
8		
9	Q	Did you see the vessel there?
10	A	Yes, I attended the vessel when it arrived – eventually arrived from Conakry.
11		
12	Q	Did you make an assessment of the extent of damage?
13	A	I did. I went through with the Captain the damages and compiled a list of all the
14		repairs we thought we could do in Dakar. We also made a list of all the ones which
15		obviously required either specialist equipment or specialist material from abroad.
16		Also, there was a number of repairs which we thought we would not be able to do in
17		the short term, but we could defer them until a later time.
18 19	\circ	Did any other person assist in making an estimate of the extent of damage?
20	Q A	Yes, we obtained the service of a qualified professional hull machinery surveyor to
21	А	assess the damages, together with myself and the Captain.
22		assess the damages, together with mysen and the captain.
23	Q	Can you remember now what was his estimate of the cost of repair?
24	Ă	Yes, the cost of repairs which we intended to do in Dakar equated to – his estimate
25	11	equated to 58 million Senegalese francs. That is about \$100,000.
26		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
27	Q	What items of damage can you now remember being detected on the vessel?
28	À	Well, there was a good number of damages.
29		
30	Q	What damage?
31	A	As I said, the most obvious ones were the damages caused by gunfire to the structure
32		of mostly the accommodation, though there was gunfire damage midships on the
33		vessel, broken windows in the wheelhouse. We also had damages in the engine room.
34		Some had been partially repaired, as I said before, to allow the engine to work; some
35		were actually caused to the electrical generating systems, I think through either direct
36		gunfire or ricochets of bullets, which had put one of them out of action. We had also
37		some structural damage to a ballast tank at the forward end of the vessel because at
38		the time of this attack I understood they were carrying out some ballasting operations
39		for adjusting the trim of the vessel. For security the Captain had been down in the
40		engine room and the pump was running and had been forgotten about until later on
41		when the situation became a little more calm and some of the crew were allowed to go
42		back to their station to start up the engine and proceed towards Conakry. Also the
43		radio communication system was badly damaged by gunfire.

For the purpose of the French translation, did I hear you say that "some of the crew were allowed back"?

44

47 A Yes, a good number of the crew I understand and read from the Captain's report were 48 taken off the vessel and put on the Guinean naval cutter. I think it was six of the crew 49 members were allowed to stay on board to sail the vessel under escort to Conakry.

Q Finally, you have prepared inventories of costs of repairs at Dakar at tabs 155 – 195.
 A Yes, that is correct.

Yes. This is simply the costs and, as the vessel was only steaming at 2 knots, it took

much longer than normal to reach Dakar from Conakry, three to four days. So it is

the cost of fuel, it is the cost of wages, the costs of oil and general expenses for that

summarise to the Tribunal the nature of these costs?

42

43

44 45

46 47 Α

journey, in fact.

- Q Will you tell the Tribunal in your own words what is the nature of these costs?
- These costs are the actual costs of repairs carried out in Dakar, which are the principal costs. The biggest expenditure was incurred doing the repairs at Dakar Marine, which is a shipyard repair facility, and the other costs are repairs to navigation, electric equipment, also the supply of new radio equipment, new satellite communication equipment, general freight costs, et cetera: in fact, all associated with the damages.

8

- Q Have you also made a calculation of the time charter loss?
- Yes. The ship was out of action a total of 153 days, of which 123 were at Conakry, but then we had to spend additional 30 days in Dakar repairing the ship to enable it to re-enter service and be reclassified by the Classification Society. So, the ship effectively was off-hire, according to the terms of our charterparty, during that period and obtained no income for employment.

15

- In so far as a claim has been made for sums spent, have you satisfied yourself that those sums have actually been paid?
- Yes, all the costs we have listed have long since been paid. The only exception is 18 A some of the repairs which we were not able to do at Dakar, and we agreed with the 19 Classification Society they could be deferred until the ship reaches its main survey 20 and overhaul date, which is April 1999; we could defer these repairs to that time. 21 22 Some was specialised equipment, some were just repairs that could not actually be done in that part of the world; they have to be done in an area which has 23 sophisticated repair facilities. We have made an estimate for that and the estimate for 24 that is \$30,000 of outstanding repairs that is included in the overall costs. 25

26

- In so far as your calculations relate to sums which have not been paid but which represent losses, are the sums which you have specified reasonable and proper in your view?
- Yes, we just paid the normal rates for repairs. We tried to negotiate the bill down to the lowest possible figure and for replacement of equipment we generally have contracts with the suppliers for other vessels which show a discount. The costs are probably the lowest number we could achieve to do the repairs and replace the equipment.

35

- Mr Stewart, I shall now ask you some questions about photographs. The first will be photograph No. 2. (Photograph 2 shown)
- That is an overall view of *The Saiga* at the oil terminal in Dakar after she has been repaired, probably ready to commence loading again.

40

- As the vessel sits in the water, is that the amount of discharge that would be expected when she is ordinarily at sea and at work?
- 43 A Yes. If you look at the different colours in the vessel, you see this black hull and the
 44 light coloured area. The light coloured area is the area which is effectively under
 45 water when the vessel is loaded. That gives you a good idea of the amount the vessel
 46 would sink into the water when it is fully loaded.

- 48 Q Now, we see photograph 5. (Photograph 5 shown) Where is that photograph taken?
- 49 A That is taken from the quay at Dakar at the oil-loading terminal.

1 2 Q Now, a room can be seen on the deck with a door which appears to be blue. What is 3 that room? 4 Α The centre section of the vessel has a pump room. The pump room is midships on 5 this vessel, as we say. To this housing is the top part of the pump room. Down below that there are the actual cargo pumps and ballast pumps of the vessel. 6 7 8 Q If the crew are in the engine room, do they have access to the pump room? 9 Α No, it is necessary to come out of the engine room, proceed along the main deck and go half-way up the main deck of the vessel to reach this housing, which is the pump 10 room. There is no access from the engine room because between that pump room and 11 the engine room there is a series of cargo tanks. 12 13 14 Q Now we will see photograph 6. Which part of the vessel is that which can be seen at 15 the right? That is the starboard bridge wing. Α 16 17 Q 18 Is this above or below the bridge room? This is level with the bridge. If you walk out of the bridge on the starboard side, you 19 Α arrive at that deck. 20 21 22 Q Is this the monkey island? No, the monkey island is the deck above that deck, in fact. It is essentially the roof of 23 A the wheelhouse. 24 25 Q Now we can see photograph 8. What can be seen in this photograph? 26 27 A This effectively is the top deck of the pump room, which again is midships on the vessel, and this shows what we call a Yokahama fender, which is a large fender for 28 placing between the vessel's hull and one of the perhaps big fishing vessels that you 29 would bunker to keep the vessels apart. It shows one inflated and one deflated. The 30 one that is deflated in fact had some gunfire damage, some bullet holes. 31 32 Q We are going to see photograph 9. What is the pipe that can be seen in the centre of 33 the picture? 34 A That is a ventilator. I think from its location that is the ventilator for the radio room. 35 That deck is actually what we call the monkey island. It is above the radio room. It 36 shows a bullet hole through the ventilator. 37 38 Q Is it possible to tell from the position of the bullet hole the side of the vessel from 39 which firing has taken place? 40

41

Α I think the picture is the wrong way round. It is the negative. There we are, that is the right way round. That is actually if you look out to the sea, of course, that is on the portside of the vessel, so I think actually this is the exit hole of the bullet, not the entrance hole, because it has taken a large piece of metal away. On the other side there is a hole, so the firing was from the portside, I think.

47 Q How close is this position from the engine room?

42

43

44 45

46

EO310pm 12 11/10/06

1 2 3 4	A	This is the very top of the structure and it is about as far away from the engine room that you can get on the accommodation level. It is the very top of the structure. The engine room, of course, is the very bottom of the structure.
5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Now we will see photograph 10. What can be seen in this photograph? This is one of the radar antennas, which has got a few bullet holes in it. When we opened up the casing – this is a fibreglass casing – when you open up the casing you see that the internals are quite badly damaged by the passage of bullets through it.
10 11	Q	Is it right, Mr Stewart, indeed is it obvious, that the antenna is well away from the engine room?
12 13 14	A	Yes, again that antenna is on the monkey island. It is above the monkey island, in fact. It is quite high up on the vessel.
15 16 17	Q A	Now we will see photograph 12. What part of the vessel does this show? I am pretty sure that that is the structure of the radio room, which is actually on the bridge deck behind the bridge, on the portside.
18 19 20	Q A	What is the thickness of metal at this point? The accommodation structure metal is about 7mm thick just there.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Q A	Is it correct that the holes are of different diameters? Yes. You can see the big one, of course. It is not so easy to see on the photograph but you can certainly get your finger right through the hole, so it is probably about 20mm. The other marks you see where it has been touched up, the paint has been broken off, you can see that they are quite small indents, so to me it appeared like these were small bullets which have not penetrated the steel and, of course, the heavy calibre bullet has penetrated the steel.
30 31 32 33	Q A	Now may we see photograph 13? What part of the vessel can be seen here? This is the bridge wing rail, in fact. It is the wooden taff rail on top of the steel bulwark, as we call it, on the bridge wing. That hole is about level with your waist if you are standing looking out across the sea from the bridge deck.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Q A	What is the thickness of metal on the vessel here? That is also about 7mm, and that hole again is, I would think, more than 20mm because it shows the passage of something from the other direction. This is the opened out metal as it passes through, so obviously a heavy calibre bullet to go through the steel.
41 42 43 44 45	Q A	Now we will see photograph 14. Is that the right way up? Yes, it is at a little bit of an angle, but it actually shows the bottom of the lifeboat. If you are standing on the deck and you look up, you see the bottom of the lifeboat. The photograph is slightly angled, but nevertheless that is okay; that is better, actually. It shows a fibreglass lifeboat and it has been temporarily repaired with a plastic

EO310pm 13 11/10/06

Are you able to recall whether these were large or small calibre bullet holes?

material. It also had some holes from bullets.

46 47

48

Q

1 2 3	A	Actually, I do not remember. This was something that was temporarily patched up before I got there, I think.
4	Q	Now may we see photograph 15? What is the inflatable boat visible there?
5	Ă	This is, I would not call it a fast rescue craft but it is a semi-rigid, inflatable boat
6		which is used for manoeuvring the discharge hose. You see the collar partially
7		deflated here. It again had some holes from bullets which later on were temporarily
8		patched with vulcanised patches.
9		
10	Q	Where is the inflatable boat in relation to the radio antenna?
11	A	The inflatable boat in fact is on the main deck, and that is just above the pump room.
12		It is about midships on the ship, so it is a long way from the radio antenna. The radio
13		antenna is the top of the structure and this, in terms of metres, is probably about 70-80
14		metres away.
15	0	N
16	Q	Now may we see photograph 16? What can be seen in that picture?
17	A	That is a partially dismantled electric generator.
18 19	Q	Is that in its normal condition? I mean is it in good condition?
20	Ā	No, it has damaged windings. The wiring has been damaged and cut. It seemed to be
21	11	by ricochets or bullets – I am not too sure exactly what – but the circular casing on the
22		right-hand side contains the stator or windings and they have been damaged by
23		projectiles.
24		
25	Q	In what part of the vessel was the generator?
26	A	That is in the engine room.
27		
28	Q	Now may we see photograph 17? What can be seen here?
29	A	That is another part of the generator. Actually, it is the voltage regulator unit on the
30		side of the generator. It is a little bit the wrong way round at the minute; that is fine
31		now. Again, the wiring was damaged there by something cutting the wiring.
32 33	\circ	Were you able to see how the wiring came to be damaged?
34	Q A	Yes, it has been cut by something passing through it with great velocity. It is quite
35	11	thick wiring. It has an open grille which is to allow ventilation, so obviously if bullets
36		were flying around they can go through the open grille quite easily - there is nothing
37		to stop it – through the air gap, shall we say.
38		or every and an every series we easy.
39	Q	May we now see photograph 18? What can be seen in that photograph?
40	À	Actually, that is another shot of the same thing from a different angle. It is a voltage
41		generation unit on the alternator.
42		
43	Q	Now photograph 19?
44	A	That is the non-rotating part of the generator, which shows the size and configuration
45		of the wiring. If you damage one of these wires, it is a continuous circuit that goes
46		right round and by rotation of the rotor through this stator or magnetic field you
47		generate electricity, so obviously if you cut one of these wires you do not get a
48		complete circuit, and actually that is what is wrong, one or two of the wires are cut

EO310pm 14 11/10/06

1 2 3		inside the machine, again close to the air gap. They seem to have been caused by projectiles.
4 5	Q	Is the damage visible in photographs 16 to 19 consistent with what you would expect had a machine gun been fired in that area?
6	A	Yes, quite possibly. There was other damage which I have not taken pictures of, but
7		there were holes in various fuel, water and lubricating oil pipes which are roughly the
8		diameter of a bullet, maybe 10-12mm, which the engineers had previously repaired
9		temporarily before I arrived.
10		
11	Q	Now we will see photograph 24. Is this the radio room?
12	A	This is the radio room. Some parts of the radio apparatus have been taken out of their
13		casings. It is maybe not quite so easy to see, but on the little gold box with the holes
14		on top of it on the left-hand side you see a long gash, and that in fact is damage
15		caused by what looks like a bullet gone through it completely.
16	0	When a manage is in the madis mages and in a a maggaca what would be the distance
17	Q	When a person is in the radio room sending a message, what would be the distance between the operator and the point where the bullet hole can be seen?
18 19	A	Pretty close, I would think. I would not like to be there. I am not completely sure
20	А	which piece of equipment that has just come out of, but that is actually the radio
21		operator's desk.
22		operator's desk.
23	Q	Forgive me, Mr Stewart, did you say "Pretty close, I would not like to be there"?
24	À	I did.
25		
26	Q	I just say that for the interpreter. Now would you please continue?
27	A	Yes. That is actually the radio operator's desk. He would sit there. The piece of
28		equipment looks like it has come from the left-hand transmitter, so it would be within
29		half a metre of where he was sitting.
30		
31	Q	Now may we see photograph 27. What can be seen in this photograph?
32	A	It is a piece of radio equipment which has been taken out of its casing. Again, you
33		can see marks of the bullets having passed through it. It looks like a fairly big calibre
34		bullet, because it has not made a simple hole, it has left a big gash.
35 36	\circ	Were you present when these photographs were taken?
37	Q A	Yes.
38	А	1 65.
39	Q	Did you take some of them?
40	A	Yes.
41		
42	Q	At the time when the photographs were being taken did you see other damage than
43	•	that which you have photographed?
44	A	Yes, as I say, I only took photographs of the principal parts of damage, really just for
45		our own record, to keep in our office. If you walk round the vessel there were various
46		marks on the accommodation which seemed to be – well certainly were not there
47		before. There were fresh marks on the hull which in some places the crew had

48

touched them up where they had damaged the steel. Some of these marks you could

1 also see midships close to the fender, and obviously close to the FRC boat, but I didn't bother to photograph these bits and pieces, we had enough of the principal parts. 2 3 4 Q Mr Stewart, have you made a written statement for this Tribunal? 5 A I have, yes. 6 7 Q Is the statement that you have presented true in every respect? 8 Α Yes, it is. 9 Q Mr Stewart, I have no further questions. You may be cross-examined. 10 11 **THE PRESIDENT:** Mr Plender, before you leave, I just want this for the record. 12 Mr Stewart where were these photographs taken? 13 14 A They were taken in Dakar in fact, when the ship arrived in Dakar. 15 Q All of them? 16 All of them were taken in Dakar, yes. 17 A 18 19 Thank you. Mr Von Brevern? 20 21 **Cross-examined by MR VON BREVERN** 22 23 Q Thank you Mr President. Mr Stewart, I would like to put some questions to you 24 relating to your position as ship's manager, whereas my colleague Professor Lagoni 25 will perhaps have some questions with respect to the damages. Mr Stewart, as we have read, you are the Managing Director of Seascot, and Seascot is the manager of 26 27 this vessel. I assume that there is a contract with the owner of the vessel? 28 Α That is correct, we have a thing called a management contract which sets out fairly 29 clearly what manager's responsibilities are in respect of services we provide to the ship owner. That would be in respect of crew, technical matters, insurance costs, 30 maintenance costs, general administration and also can cover the commercial 31 operation of the vessel whereby we would find employment for the vessel and we 32 would receive the charter hire, we would check it against the charterparty and we 33 would provide an additional service, accountancy service shall we say, to the owner 34 35 for his guidance. That is part of the service. 36 37 Q And when did you conclude the agreement with the owners? I do not remember exactly, but this vessel came into our management I think about 38 Α February 1997. 39 40 Q Have you been in contact before as Seascot Management Company with the owner of 41 this vessel.

EO310pm 16 11/10/06

The vessel was purchased by this company round about February, and this in fact was

another vessel which we managed for I think three or four years. That vessel was sold previous to the purchase of this one, so we had, yes, a relationship going on with the

the time when we took over the management, and the owners had in fact previously

42

43

44 45

46

47 48 Α

owner.

- And this vessel was, as you told us, bought in February 1997. Do you know where it was bought, who was the owner before?
- The ship was purchased it was laid up in England. It was purchased and then taken to the shipyard for repair. It was purchased by Tabona Shipping in February at that time.

6

- 7 Q Could it be possible it has been purchased in an auction?
- Yes, it was purchased at an auction, that is correct. The ship was in England and it was put through a judicial sale, as I say. In Falmouth, in fact.

10

- 11 Q Do you know the former owners?
- 12 A Do you mean the immediate one, or some other ones?

13

- No, before, the owners that through the auction I understand have lost their ownership in the vessel?
- We did not know who the owners were. We had their name of course, but we had no relationship with these particular owners.

18

- Do you know which particular nationality it was, and my additional question in this connection is which flag; do you know which flag?
- I know which flag it was, it was a Malta flag because it still had "Valletta" on the stern. My recollection was that I think the beneficial ownership of the vessel was an Israeli company, that is all I know about the ownership.

24

- What did you just mean when you said there was still a stamp of Malta? Where was the stamp?
- 27 A If you look at the photographs of *The Saiga* you see "Kingston" on the stern of the vessel, which was the port of registry. Before it had "Valletta", which is the capital of Malta. You can see that on the stern of the vessel.

30

- In your contract with the owners, you have indicated all the various tasks you would do, you would have to do. Does that include charterparties on behalf of the owner?

 Did you negotiate the charterparties?
- In this particular case yes. This can or cannot be a service we provide. It depends a little bit on the owner's other business. Sometimes the owner would be a cargo owner, and he has his own cargo, and he does not require services to bring cargoes to the vessel or negotiate charterparties. That would be something which he handles himself. But in this case yes, we negotiated the charterparty on behalf of the owner and took responsibility for ensuring the hire was paid in the proper way, etc.

40

- Was it the same when you managed the other vessel from the same company in the first three to four years?
- 43 A Yes.

44

- Why did you say "in this case we negotiated the charterparties because the ship owner company did not have cargo"?
- A No, what I meant was that we manage some ships for companies which let us say may be a grainhouse. For example, they have their own shipments of grain from the United States to the Far East, and they buy a ship to carry their own cargoes in, so

EO310pm 17 11/10/06

they do not have a technical and crewing division or a knowledge of legislation for the vessel, so they use us to do technical management. It is not necessary to be involved in the commercial trading of the ship, they do that themselves.

4

- With respect to the charterparty, you said you have negotiated this and finally it was on your advice that it was signed with the approval of the owners. The contents of the charterparty, do you remember you have referred that it was two years charterparty, do you know who the charterparty was?
- 9 A Yes, the name of the charter was Lemania Shipping, a two year charterparty.

10

- Was it for particular purpose, this charterparty? Did you know what the intended voyage and trading would be of that vessel?
- Yes, we did not know the voyages, but we knew the intended purpose, that the vessel would be used as a bunkering vessel, supplying bunkers offshore. This was understood to be part of the employment of the vessel, but the charterparty is quite wide ranging document, it allows the charterer to trade the ship anywhere worldwide in any legal trades, in fact, so it is not restricted in any way.

18

- 19 Q Do you remember whether any trade restrictions had been included in the charterparty?
- Α Well I did not person ally negotiate a charterparty, that was done by the Commercial 21 Director. The details of the charterparty I am not completely familiar with, but I do 22 not remember being any restrictions, because it has worldwide trading, this is quite a 23 common terminology, because the ships may be employed in one area for a short 24 period, and then may find some other employment in some other area for a short 25 period. Sometimes even the ship can be sublet to another charterer, and they are all 26 rights that the charterer has. So I do not remember any particular restrictions, apart 27 from you have to do it in a legal way, of course. 28

29

- O Did you know the charterer, the company. Did you know whether they had a lot of vessels engaged and what their normal business was?
- No, not really. Always before we engaged on behalf of the owner, the shipowner service, where we have to get paid for it, we would like to find out if the charterer is able to pay the charter hire, because it is a lot of money, and a lot of expense if anything goes wrong. In the normal course of events we would use a credit checking agency to come up with some information about the charterer, whether it was part of a group, a small company or whatever. So that information would be available, yes.

- Q Did you or one of your employees or colleagues instruct the crew before at the beginning of this charterparty; had there been any particular instructions to observe because this was, perhaps you would agree, it is not a normal business. Did they receive any particular instructions?
- Yes, we have for all vessels which we manage, especially a tanker, we have a special code called the ISM Code now, which is an international safety management code. That entails that certain procedural information must be passed to the vessel, certain levels of trading have to be in place and procedures for communication, procedures for accident, procedures for various things. So that information is all passed to the captain as a normal event. What happens normally if a ship is on a time charter, the time charter or perhaps their agent would sent specific instructions to the captain,

usually in the form of a document which gives the list of the people who will be supplying him with instructions, information of what bunkers he should have on board, the likely trade he will be involved in, and just the general day to day information of how he should proceed.

5

- 6 Q Do you know, has the charterer handed over such a book of instructions?
- 7 A My recollection is that the Master got instructions in that form, yes.

8

- 9 Q Related to what?
- 10 A It relates to the normal day to day business of what the ship would be employed in.

 For example it says---

12

- 13 Q The schedule, or---?
- Well, I would say in this sort of trade I do not think there is a very strict schedule, it is not like a liner service where the ship goes from say Rotterdam to New York back and forward. Like lots of the ships we have, they are what we call tramp ships. They trade from one employment to the next, so you complete one voyage and the next voyage instructions would not be there until near the end of the voyage and then you give new instructions, because you have re-employed it.

20

- Is that just guess from you, that there have been handed over some instructions, or do you know that for sure?
- Well, I do not recollect having seen these particular instructions myself, but I asked the Captain on one of the occasions I was there, and of course our marine department would also have done that, to say does he have written instructions from the charterer, written instructions on all the information he needs to operate the vessel, and he said yes.

28

- 29 Q When you say "by the charterers" you mean the charterers, Lemania Shipping Group?
- The charterer or their agent. In actual fact, rather like quite often the name of a charterer is just a name like the similar relationship we have with Tabona Shipping.

 We are managers for Tabona so we issue all instructions on behalf of the owner. The charterer can have what we call an operating agent, which is maybe part of his own group, shall we say, but a different division of a group which issues instructions directly on behalf of that formal charterer.

36

- I am still after the instructions. Did they come from Lemania, its charterers, or did they come from their agents, and if so, who were the agents?
- No, they come from their operating agents. that is correct. The actual instructions come from the operating agents.

41

- 42 Q Do you know where that was?
- 43 A Yes, that is Addax Bunkering Services in Geneva.

44

- If such operating agent from the charterer instructs your crew, do you tell your crew, your Captain, that he has to follow the orders of the agent, of the charterer?
- 47 A Yes, in terms of employment of the vessel, he is obliged to follow the instructions of the charterer or his agent. That is what the charterparty says.

3	7 1	The charterer of his agent, yes.
4	Q	Or his agent?
5	A	Yes. I am not sure if it spells it out specifically, but that is the implication.
6	11	res. I am not sure if it spens it out specifically, but that is the implication.
7	Q	You would not have a line in the charterparty where that may be said?
8	A	No.
9	7.1	
10	Q	You do not remember? We have it before us, the charterparty.
11	Ă	Yes, I do not remember exactly whether that was said.
12		- vo, - wovo
13	Q	So in this case you have instructed your Captain that Addax Bunkering Services
14		would be the company that would give instructions to him?
15	A	Yes, in terms of deployment of the ship. That is correct.
16		
17	Q	In terms of?
18	Α	Deployment of the ship. Everything else - instructions we give him for the safety of
19		the vessel, the operation of the crew, technical operations, insurance - everything else
20		we control. He reports to us on that. He also reports, of course, his position and what
21		he is doing. As soon as he gets his orders to go somewhere he sends us – most
22		charterers also send us, we have a communication between our own operation
23		department and the charterer's operation department where they would tell us, "Well,
24		look, the next deployment looks like the ship is going to go from A to B. The aegis
25		will be such and such", and then they send us information, more or less
26		simultaneously as the Captain gets it, so that we are all in the picture.
27	0	One of the main items of the claims is the loss of hire.
28 29	Q A	Yes.
30	А	165.
31	Q	Hire is normally, under the charterparty, paid by the charterer to the owner where you
32	~	receive the money?
33	A	Yes.
34		
35	Q	It is quite a lot of money. Has there been any dispute between the owner and
36		charterer? I understand normally you would ask the charterer to pay the hire.
37	A	Yes.
38		
39	Q	In this case, did you not ask for it – I mean after <i>The Saiga</i> has been put to Conakry?
40	A	Yes, of course. We asked for the hire.
41	_	
42	Q	You asked for the hire?
43	A	Yes.
44	0	
45	Q	After that time?
46	A	The hire is obliged to be paid every month in advance, so we send an invoice and ask
47		for the hire.
48	\circ	And has it been naid?
49	Q	And has it been paid?

That is in the charterparty, that he has to follow the instructions of -- The charterer or his agent, yes.

Q

Α

1 2

EO310pm 20 11/10/06

1	A	For the period the ship was detained, no.
2	Q	Did you accept that non-payment or did you, perhaps, go to arbitration? I assumed
4		that you agreed to arbitrate in London instead of the International Tribunal?
5	Α	Yes. That is in the charterparty, of course. There is a procedure. A signed
6		charterparty has an arbitration clause, of course, which in this case is that if you have
7		a dispute that you cannot resolve then yes, you have a right to go to arbitration under
8		English Law. But we have not got to that stage yet insomuch as we have kindly
9		asked for it and they have said that, well, the circumstances are such that more or less
10		you might say, they were following, in their view, all the correct regulations, they
11		committed no offence. So, under the terms of the charterparty the ship is out of action
12		for something which is beyond their control.
13	0	
14	Q	I understood you to say, "As yet, we have not done anything".
15	A	But we have had many discussions.
16	\circ	There are still many discussions
17	Q A	There are still many discussions. Yes.
18 19	A	i es.
20	Q	So it is not yet finally decided whether you will accept that behaviour of the
21	Q	charterers?
22	A	No.
23	7.1	110.
24	Q	When you said that under your management contract you are allowed to do a lot of
25	~	things, claims for example: your management contract was in relation to Tabona, to
26		the owner?
27	A	Yes.
28		
29	Q	Are you allowed under this contract to pursue claims before the court under your own
30		name or under the name of Tabona, or what is the regulation?
31	Α	In the contract it is covered in the insurance section, shall we say. We will use our
32		best endeavours to look after the owner's interest. For example, if you have a cargo
33		claim or cargo shortage claim - for some reason the ship delivers some cargo which is
34		short or perhaps was damaged - then, of course, there would be a claim against the
35		ship which we would defend. Then we would use the vessels protection and
36		indemnity insurance to defend that claim.
37		
38	Q	Would you do it on the risk of the owner?
39	A	It is down on the risk as the owner. We are acting as the agent of the owner, yes.
40		
41	Q	In this story of <i>The Saiga</i> , in all the many costs you have referred to, did you, as your
42		company, Seascot Management, bear any costs for which you have not yet been
43		reimbursed by the owners, or by someone else?
44	A	Strictly speaking, we do not accept any liability for the costs. As I say, we do our best
45		endeavours to protect the owner's interests and, at the end of the day, where
46		something has to be done and paid for, the owner has to pay for it. The only thing I
47		would say in this particular case is that having, let us say, encouraged in some way

the owner to enter into this contract because it seemed a very good contract and

seemed that it would tie in very well with the type of ship etc, one feels a slight

1		embarrassment about all the costs you are not able to – you have had to pass on to the
2		owner. Also, at the end of the day, because of this loss of income, the owner, I know,
3		is suffering very greatly because the vessel had a two-year charter which, if it went
4		the full two years, would earn a certain amount of money, of course. At the end of that
5		charter it has to go through a major survey. The income from that charter would pay
6		for most of that survey, or pay a large proportion of that survey. Now a lot of that
7		income is missing, so obviously the owner has –
8		meonic is missing, so coviously the owner has
9	Q	My concrete question would be: we have received from Saint Vincent and the
	Q	Grenadines a bundle of very many invoices.
10	٨	
11	A	Yes.
12	0	
13	Q	Dakar, and so on. I assume that all these invoices have been paid. My question was,
14		have you paid these amounts and have you got reimbursement from anybody else?
15	Α	No, we were only reimbursed from the owner.
16		
17	Q	From the owner?
18	Α	Yes.
19		
20	Q	Did you receive from the owner –
21	À	Yes, yes.
22		
23	Q	Nearly all big amounts?
24	À	Nearly all big amounts. Exactly, yes.
25	11	Treatify all olg allication. Enactify, year.
26	Q	I have one further question that relates to your statement You said under number 1:
27	~	That one farmer question that relates to your statement. Tou said ander number 1.
28		"Its duties as ship manager include"
29		its duties as simp manager merade
30		inter alia:
31		inter una.
		"dealing with the regulation of flag states and statutory bodies"
32		dearing with the regulation of mag states and statutory bodies
33		Co. 11 1
34		Could you explain what that includes, that obligation?
35	A	Yes, we have to pay attention to the flag States' requirements. These flag States'
36		requirements are generally delegated to the Classification Society in respect of safety;
37		construction of the vessel; the load line which determines the amount of cargo it can
38		carry; the safety of the vessel; the radio systems of the vessel. They are all statutory
39		requirements in accordance with the IMO, MARPOL and SOLAS, of course. That is
40		what I mean by that.
41		
42	Q	The vessel which you managed before <i>The Saiga</i> , under which flag was that vessel –
43	~	for Tabona - do you remember that?
44	A	Yes, I think that was Vanuatu, actually, that one.
45	-	,
46	Q	Vanuatu?
47	À	Vanuatu, ves.

EO310pm 22 11/10/06

1 2	Q	So you are an expert in Vanuatu merchant shipping law. Have you got information about the law of the new flag which <i>The Saiga</i> was now flying?
3	A	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines?
5	Q	Yes.
6	À	Yes, we have other ships also under the Saint Vincent and the Grenadines flag.
7		
8	Q	So you know your obligations under this flag, more or less?
9	A	Yes.
10		
11	Q	Did you represent the owner in the action?
12	A	No, it was done in a slightly different way. There was a solicitor representing the
13		parties of the ship at the time, yes.
14		
15	Q	Do you remember which certificate of registration M/V SAIGA had when you took it
16		over, when it was bought?
17	A	As I said, the certificate of registration was an expired Maltese one, but I do not think
18		the actual document was on board the vessel.
19 20	Q	The Maltese one was expired?
21	A	My impression was because I do not remember, I do not recollect getting documents
22	7 1	from the vessel because we just had been led to understand, so we knew there was a
23		Malta flag on it.
24		
25	Q	When did you take over the operation? The moment the vessel was bought?
26	À	Shortly afterwards, yes.
27	Q	So you had the responsibility?
28	A	Yes.
29		
30	Q	So at that time, at first it had been in the yard?
31	A	Yes, we took it to the shipyard.
32		
33	Q	At that time was it still under Maltese registration?
34	Α	Yes, we took it by tug to the shipyard. It was laid up here so rather than start to do
35 36		piecemeal repairs in Falmouth, which really has no facility, we made a contract with
37		the shipyard in Brest, which is very close by. So we towed it to the shipyard.
38	Q	Who then, from your company, took care of the new registration? Obviously you
39	Q	have decided to fly the Saint Vincent flag and I think you have done something with
40		the registration. Who has done it and when?
41	A	Yes, that was done by our commercial department during the period that the ship was
42		under repair. So obviously we had all the flag sorted out and all these surveys done
43		on the vessel before she could enter service. So that was all done more or less at the
44		one time.
45		
46	Q	Do you know how it was done or was it just that you are the general manager and you
47		knew that everything has to be done and you did not take care of the –
48 49	A	I did not personally do it but I know the principle of what is involved in registering a vessel. You have to give certain information. You fill in an application form.

EO310pm 23 11/10/06

Actually for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines we deal with the service office they
have in Geneva which is a very efficient office. As I say, we have other ships
registered in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines so we know what information is
required. We fill in an application form for a provisional registry, as they call it, and
a provisional radio licence. Subject to the ship being acceptable, you obtain
provisional radio licence and provisional register.

7

- 8 Q And did you receive that?
- 9 A We received that, yes.

10

- 11 Q Do you remember the contents of the expiry date of that registration document?
- Yes, that is right. Usually, the initial, provisional registry document is issued for six months. You can get another extension of six months if the ship happens to be in a place and you cannot finally get all the bits and pieces together within the six months for permanent registration, or issuance of a permanent registration document, as they call it, because obviously, once you fill in the application form and the ship is accepted for the registry, it remains on the register until or unless it is deleted for some reason or other is cancelled for some reason or other.

19

- Q So you told us that there is someone specialised in your firm who has to take care of that? He applied for the provisional certificate and thereafter, in connection with registration I should like to know what has happened in your company. Do you know that?
- Yes. To reach permanent registration, you have to comply with certain requirements which are laid down by the flag State. You have to show proof that it has not registered in the previous registry. Also you obtain a document called a deletion certificate. So, in due course the Maltese registry was contacted and they confirmed that the ship was no longer registered with them.

29

- Is that your recollection? Do you know that, or do you think that was the rule, that that should have been done? Was that all done, what you have just told us?
- That was done. That was done, yes. The deletion certificate was obtained and that was, in due course, sent to the Saint Vincent and the Grenadines registry.

34

- 35 Q Do you know when it was, the deletion certificate?
- 36 A No.

37

- You do not know. It was a provisional certificate. Did you receive a permanent one? Yes.
- ...

40

- 41 Q When?
- In due course the vessel received a permanent one. I think actually the permanent one was issued in about the November time. I think I recollect that it was about the November time.

45

- And the provisional one was issued when, can you remember that? At the beginning of March or the middle of March?
- 48 A Yes.

49

EO310pm 24 11/10/06

1 Q And the expiry date was, do you remember that? 2 Α Well, it would be six-monthly. 3 4 Q That would be before November, would it? 5 A Yes, that would be the end of October. 6 7 Q Did that mean anything to you or to your colleague? 8 Α

A I am not sure what the process was. Usually what happens is that shortly after the ship enters service you get this deletion certificate from the previous registry and I think there are some other documents also you are required to produce. They are sent to Saint Vincent and the Grenadines or the flag State and in due course the administration will issue a certificate which says it is a permanent registry to put on board the vessel. But I have seen occasions of course when it may go past the six months and you have to apply for another one, just to keep the record straight on the vessel, shall we say, but it does not always get to the vessel at precisely the right moment because you might be at sea or wherever. Sometimes she could be on a assage and it may expire on the way and the ship will arrive at the port and the agent will say, "Well, your registry document appears to have expired, so we will just get the fax and fax the other one so that you have something for sailing".

19 20

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

- It may be really difficult for you to remember every single application and the date of it but would you agree that at least until 12 September, which was the expiry date of the original provisional certificate, before that date you have not received another certificate and have not applied for another certificate? You have just mentioned that you remember that it may be November.
- I seem to remember it was beyond the date of expiry until we got another one, another temporary one.

28

- Q Quite a different point, and this is a damage point: you said you have paid Mr Niasse, only one of many crew members, I think. I was a little astonished. Is that really correct? You know Mr Niasse?
- 32 A Yes, I do.

33

- And you, Seascot, have paid him as employer? Were you the employer?
- No. The employer is always the owner. Seascot act as agents for the owner, so he was paid on board the vessel. He would normally be paid on board the vessel in cash by the Master.

38

- 39 Q But the Master will not do so without your approval.
- 40 A No, of course not.

41

- 42 Q Do you know how much he received and when?
- 43 A His salary is £300 per month, yes.

44

- 45 Q Has he been employed for months?
- I do not recollect how long he was employed actually but he was employed some time before the ship was detained at Conakry.

- Q We have been told by Mr Niasse, and perhaps you can comment on this, that his employment company was a company in Dakar. Is that possible? Can he have two employers?
- 4 Α It could be. The way we arranged it was that because the crew on this particular type 5 of business is very busy all the time, maybe with the operation of the vessel they are working 24 hours a day bunkering vessels which can be launched in the night of 6 7 course, and through the day and so on and so forth, nearly all the crew have an assignment involved that is either maintaining the ship in position, running the 8 9 engines or in fact handling the bunkering system. So we took the view that it would be useful to have some extra maintenance men on board the vessel. I know on one of 10 my visits to Dakar to see the vessel we were discussing this point with 11 Mr Mark Vervaet, who is the General Manager of Oryx at Dakar. I understand that is 12 part of the Addax Group. He had suggested that we use people here in his own 13 14 maintenance area, shall we say, and I am sure, if we wanted to take on some extra 15 people, we could take them and sign them on abroad the ship as extra maintenance men. It may be in fact that Mr Niasse was previously working with Oryx in this way, 16 so he would just continue. The Captain would agree the rate and he would just 17 continue, yes. 18

19

- Q One question, going back to your management work: you mentioned the classification societies you use. Does that change or do you have a policy in your company to make use of one particular classification society?
- No. We use a number of classification societies. There are various reasons for that. 23 A Usually we take over an existing ship. If it is a new building then of course you have 24 complete choice of what classification society you use to supervise the construction 25 and eventually handle the classification of the vessel. But if the ship is a second-hand 26 vessel it will already have a classification society. If it is one of what we call the IAX 27 group, which is a society which has the highest standards of credentials accepted by 28 all the insurance companies, we would probably not change the classification society 29 of that ship; we would just leave it with that particular classification society. 30

31

- And in relation to *The Saiga*, did Tabona or you yourself or anybody else decide which classification society you should make use of?
- A It was Russian Marine Registry. We have other vessels also with the Russian Marine Registry, so we said, "Just leave it as it is".

36

- The last question, again on damage: are you aware of the total amount of repair costs for the vessel? You have demonstrated some particular items but if you take the repair costs of the vessel altogether and installations, have you that figure at present?
- 40 A Yes. It is broken down in different sectors: so much we spent at Conakry, so much we spent Dakar, so much we have deferred to later because we cannot repair it. The total figure is, according to my sheet here when we add them all up, \$595,000

43

- Q Do you know the value of the vessel at that time?
- At that time in its damaged condition of course it would, I think, probably be worth about \$750,000.

- 48 Q In the damaged condition?
- 49 A Yes.

1 2 MR VON BREV

MR VON BREVERN: Thank you, Mr Stewart. Thank you, President. Professor Lagoni would like to continue.

4

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

5

7

Cross-examined by PROFESSOR LAGONI

8

10

11

12

Q Mr Stewart, you mentioned in your statement at number 2: "As a consequence of the crew abandoning various pumping and transferring operations in order to save themselves from death and serious injury" – I refer to this little sentence – and that refers to the constructive damage the vessel suffered from the pump.

13 A Yes.

14

- It is also shown in photograph 20 of these photographs. This structural damage was caused by the ballast pump?
- 17 A Yes, I understand that from the Captain's report.

18

- During the attack or this turmoil apparently the crew was conducting some ballasting operation there?
- Yes, I understand that they were probably not doing much ballasting operations but they were just putting some ballast in the forward tanks to adjust the trim of the vessel because they had discharged quite a lot of cargo from the forward tanks the previous day in fact.

25

- 26 Q These pumps do not have security switch and when you pump you can sink the boat when you go on pumping, as I understand it.
- A No. The ship is in some ways rather old-fashioned in so much as it has steam pumps driven by steam pressure. So it is not like an electric switch you can switch off. You have to manually control the pumps at the pump station, shall we say, and these pumps are in the pump room. It is a progressive operation. You need probably three people: one is controlling the pumps, another one is probably manoeuvring some valves and the other chap would be checking the level in the tank so that you do not over-fill and over-pressurise the tank.

35

- But you apparently also mentioned, if I understood you rightly, that after this turmoil they forgot to switch it off.
- I think it was after the turmoil. During the turmoil everybody headed for cover,
 I think is the way to describe it. Whatever was happening to the ship was the least of
 their worries. They were more concerned actually for their own position rather than
 what they were doing.

42

- I understand that. Dr Plender has shown you some pictures and you recollect this midships picture of the pump station. As I can see it, this blue door which he was referring to was on the starboard side of the ship. Is that right?
- 46 A Yes.

47

Of course the attack was from the port side and I understood from Dr Plender that there was no possibility to get from the engine room back here to switch off the pump.

1 2	A	You have to come out on the deck to get there in fact from the engine room.
3	Q	But the deck is <u>here</u> .
4	Ă	The picture is a bit confusing because in the centre of the deck rows of pipes go from
5		forward and aft of the vessel. There is a walkway above that and what you see there
6		is the walkway in the centre of the vessel.
7		
8	Q	You have to use this walkway to get to midships.
9	À	Exactly; you can also walk on the deck but that is the simple way to go.
10		Zimonij, jeu van dibe want en die deel eur mat is die simple waj de ge.
11	Q	In photograph 13 we see the bridge wing with a bolthole. You mentioned that the size
12		of the structure is about 7 mm steel. Is that right?
13	A	Yes, generally speaking. It varies in different places. This is quite a heavily-
14		constructed vessel. She was built in the former Soviet country of Bulgaria where
15		things tend to be heavy duty, shall we say, so she has got quite heavy steel. Yes, that
16		would be about 7 mm, I think.
17		
18	Q	According to your impression was that hole caused by solid bullets or by explosive
19		bullets?
20	A	I am not a ballistics expert but I would think it was a solid bullet because it has left its
21		opening.
22		
23	Q	It would have been
24	A	A big hole, I think.
25		
26	Q	When you came on board to place all the different damages on record, did you count
27		the number of bullet holes? Have you any idea how many bullets were shot?
28	\mathbf{A}	No, I did not count the bullet holes.
29		
30	Q	Certainly that would be a very boring and tedious operation.
31	A	When I was at school I was a British Army cadet, as they called it. I did four years
32		with that, so I learnt a little about automatic Sten guns and Bren guns and how many
33		bullets they fire per second. Obviously, if you fire a machinegun you get a lot of
34		bullets in a few seconds. If you look at the vessel, scattered about the
35		accommodation, particularly on the port side as the Captain mentioned and the front
36		of the accommodation. the windows are broken and above that the antenna. Then of
37		course there are bullets in the engine room and there must have been some bullets
38		across the midships there and the fender is punctured. I am not sure, 50 or 60 or
39		something.
40	\circ	I was just going to refer to the midship damage. There was this inflatable Volvahama
41 42	Q	I was just going to refer to the midship damage. There was this inflatable Yokahama fender, I learnt, as well?
43	A	Yes.
44	11	1 00.
45	Q	And an inflatable lifebelt. Is it possible that they were destroyed because of ricochet
46	~	or direct firing?
47	A	Yes, it could be ricochet.
48		,

EO310pm 28 11/10/06

Q

49

It could be ricochet?

1	A	It could be ricochet. If you were firing and you hit the deck, for example, the deck is
2		very thick, so normally bullets would not go through the deck but, of course, they
3		would ricochet back up again.
4		
5	Q	Your impression was that the majority, the bulk of the bullets and the bullet holes
6		were in the upper part of the ship, or where have they been? I understand that most of
7		them are from the portside at a 45° angle to the bow?
8	A	Yes, mostly from the portside. The heavy holes are certainly on the upper decks.
9		
10	Q	You estimated, although you were in the Naval Cadets or the British Army Cadets,
11		that the diameter is about 20mm, or you estimated that the calibre of the big hole
12		shots was 20mm, more or less. Are you aware that the patrol boats have 12.7mm
13		machine guns fixed on deck and that the arms carried by the soldiers are usually
14		Kalashnikovs, which is think are usually 7.6mm?
15	A	Yes.
16		
17	Q	So you say that it is a rough estimation?
18	À	Yes. In my days it was a 303, and it is 0.303 of an inch. 0.3 of an inch is
19		
20	Q	It is nothing?
21	À	Given 11mm, I suppose it is the same size of bullet, yes.
22		,,,
23	Q	Just let me briefly turn to another question. How can one stop the engine on site?
24	À	You stop the engine from the control station on the bottom platform.
25		
26	Q	On the bridge or downstairs in the
27	À	Down in the engine room.
28		
29	Q	So you give an order from the bridge, "Stop engine now"?
30	À	Yes.
31		
32	Q	How do they do that, with these traditional
33	À	Absolutely, telegraphs, yes.
34		, , <u>B</u> p , ,
35	Q	Telegraph transmission?
36	À	It rings a bell and it indicates what the captain wishes.
37		To things we can under to middle the time the compound of the control of the cont
38	Q	In order to stop the engine, you have to go into the engine room?
39	A	There are other ways to stop the engine. If you are a technician, you know other ways
40	11	to stop the engine, but from the straight forward layman's point of view there is a big
41		lever, you pull the lever, it says "Stop" and it does stop the engine, yes. That is on the
42		bottom of the
43		outom of the
44	Q	If the technician gets the information, he stops the engine?
45	Q A	Yes.
46	$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$	100.
47	Q	It is a diesel engine?
48	A	A diesel engine.
40	Λ	A dieser englie.

EO310pm 29 11/10/06

1	Q	It is not electric?
2	Α	No, it is a diesel engine, a slow speed engine.
3		
4	Q	Did you take a photograph of the broken porthole of the cabin of Djibril Niasse? Is
5		there any photograph that we have not seen?
6	A	No. The reason for that was that we had some spare porthole glasses on the vessel
7		and the captain and his crew were in Conakry and took all the broken ones, or a
8		number of the broken ones - and I think Mr Niasse's cabin was one of them - and put
9		spare glasses in, so when I got there the glasses were replaced.
10		apara gamasa, aa maaaa gamaaa maa arpamaan
11	Q	They were already replaced?
12	À	Yes.
13		
14	Q	Is there any reason why the photographs were not taken in Conakry? They were
15		taken afterwards in Dakar, I understand. Would it not have been better or usual at the
16		place where the ship was, which was quite a while in Conakry?
17	A	For a start, I am sure the captain did not have a camera, but maybe Captain Merenyi
18	11	may have had a camera. He certainly made a report. His report on the damages, and
-		also the captain's report on damages, when I went there it was more or less absolutely
19		
20		correctly described. Sometimes also, to be fair, when you are in ports which are

- 2324 Q That is your experience?
- 25 A Yes.

21

22

26

29

30 31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

3940

47

DR PLENDER: Thank you very much, Mr Stewart. That ends my questions.

camera in the port, so this could have been the same thing.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much, Professor Lagoni. Dr Plender, we have ten minutes. Do you think that is adequate for you to re-examine?

controlled by Customs and guards, there is a rule that you are not allowed to take your

DR PLENDER: More than adequate.

Re-examined by DR PLENDER

Q Mr Stewart, to the best of your recollection, can you give the date of the provisional registration of *The Saiga*?

A As far as I understand, my memory – and I would have to check the record – seems to indicate that it was about 23 February, the original registration, yes.

- For what period is provisional registration obtained in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines?
- 43 A You get a piece of paper which says that it is six months. The piece of paper that you get to put on board a ship says that it is a six month provisional document, but my understanding also is that once you apply for registration, the vessel is entered as registered on the register, so until it is deleted it in fact remains on the register.
- What then is your understanding of the word *provisional* when used in the expression "Provisional Registration Certificate"? What is provisional?

EO310pm 30 11/10/06

3	Q	It is a temporary document. Is the registration, on your understanding, temporary or indefinite?
5 6	A	My understanding is that the registration is indefinite, unless there is some reason why you take the ship away from that registry or it is deleted by the registrar's office.
7 8	Q	Was there in the case of <i>The Saiga</i> a subsequent permanent registration?
9	À	Yes.
10		
11 12	Q	As you understand the regulations of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, from what date was <i>The Saiga</i> registered on the Saint Vincent Register?
13	A	As I say, my recollection is that it was late February 1997.
14 15	Q	As you understand the regulations of Saint Vincent, has she continued to be registered
16		there at all times since?
17	A	Yes.
18	DD I	DI ENDED. M. D id d. I b
19 20	DK I	PLENDER: Mr President, I have no further questions, unless the Tribunal has any questions.
21		
22	THE	PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.
23	•	d II with programme
24	Ques	stioned by THE PRESIDENT
2526	Q	Mr Stewart, do you have <i>this</i> bundle of photographs?
27	A	I do not have it. Perhaps I could borrow Dr Plender's. (handed)
28	11	T do not have it. Terhaps I could borrow Bi I render 3. (nanaca)
29	Q	If you look at photograph number 4, you have some black marks. If you look at the
30		first set of portholes at the top of the structure, you will see that there is a black mark
31		to the extreme right, there is one just below, and there is one just below the words
32		"No Smoking"?
33	A	Yes.
34		
35	Q	They are black marks?
36	Α	Black marks, yes.
37		
38	Q	In fact, there is another one just below the one below "No Smoking", directly below
39		it?
40	Α	Yes, I see that.
41		
42	Q	What are those?
43	A	It appears to me that those are areas of steel that have had some damage which has
44		been touched up with grey undercoat paint.
45	0	Want there do not not health to the state of a constant of
46	Q	Were they damaged by bullets, do you know?
47	A	Actually I do not recollect, to be honest, because there were many places marked by
48 49		bullets which the crew touched up with primer, and, of course, later on the whole structure would be painted white.

It is a temporary document. That is my understanding of provisional.

A

1

EO310pm 31 11/10/06

1 2 3	TI
4	
5	
6	TI
7	
8	M
9	
10	
11	
12	TI
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	(T

29 30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42 **THE PRESIDENT:** I see. Thank you very much. That will be all. Like your colleagues, you are released. You may, of course, stay if you wish, but you may leave now if you wish to.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr von Brevern?

THE PRESIDENT: IVII VOII DIEVEIII.

MR VON BREVERN: Mr President, I again have a problem. I would like to put at least one question to the witness with respect to the provisional registration. Would you allow me to do that?

HE PRESIDENT: Mr von Brevern, I do not think I can. As I said, the procedure is quite clear. The party calling the witness examines in-chief and the other party has the right to cross-examine. Thereafter, the party calling the witness is given the opportunity to ask questions in order to clarify any doubts that may have been raised. If we were then to give you the opportunity of further cross-examination, we would have to give the other party the opportunity for further re-examination, and that, of course, would be an impossible situation.

I think that it should be entirely left to you to make reference in your submissions to any points which happen to involve a contradiction. This is the situation, as we agreed during our meeting. There may be situations when an entirely new situation has arisen, but the question of registration and provisional registration has loomed so large in the document and in the representations that it cannot possibly be described as new. In the circumstances, therefore, I do not think that we can break from the normal tradition. Mr Stewart, you are released.

(The witness withdrew)

THE PRESIDENT: Dr Plender?

DR PLENDER: Members of the Tribunal, that concludes the evidence for the Applicant State. Tomorrow morning, with the leave of the President, we propose to deliver three short addresses on the basis of the evidence, and the case for the Applicant State will conclude tomorrow morning.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. It is just about four minutes to time. I suggest that we break at this point. The sitting will be suspended and we will resume tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

(Adjourned at 1600 hrs until 1000 hrs on Thursday, 11 March 1999)

EO310pm 32 11/10/06